

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,445		07/03/2003	Thomas E. Nahill	18161 USA 6518		
21127	7590	03/30/2006		EXAMINER		
KUDIRKA				MIGGINS, MICHAEL C		
ONE STAT	E STREE	Γ		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, 1	MA 0210)9		1772		
				DATE MAN ED: 02/20/200		

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//\
Office Assista Communication	10/613,445	NAHILL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	anuary 2006.		
·= ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the mo	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 3-50 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) \(\bigcircle{\sigma} \) Claim(s) \(\frac{\sigma^{1/2}}{\sigma^{3/2}} \) is/are allowed. 6) \(\bigcircle{\sigma} \) Claim(s) \(\frac{\sigma^{-5}}{\sigma^{1/2}} \) is/are rejected. 7) \(\bigcircle{\sigma} \) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) \(\bigcircle{\sigma} \) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	nge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/9/06 has been entered.

REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

2. All rejections of claims 6-10 have been withdrawn.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

3. The 103(a) and double patenting rejections of claims 3-5 only set forth in the final rejection of 1/11/05, pages 3-6, paragraphs 6-10 are repeated for the reasons of record. Applicant has added the limitations "to form an expanded and crystallized neck" and "expanded and crystallized" to claim 3 which is provided upon the combined teachings of Valyi and Tsukada since Valyi discloses an expanded neck and Tsukada discloses a crystallized neck.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4, Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valyi (US 5884786) in view of Tsukada (US 4591060).

Valyi discloses an expanded neck which is at least partially molecularly oriented, wherein said neck is at least partially of a crystallizable polymer which is of polyester or PET, wherein said finish ring has one or more external threads or thread segments, wherein said finish is on an injection molded or compression molded preform, or plastic construction (column 3, line 66 through column 4, line 34) (applies to instant claims 11, 13-18).

Tsukada discloses a neck which is at least partially molecularly oriented, wherein said neck is at least partially of a crystallizable polymer (column 4, lines 31-64) (11-12 and 19-20).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-10 and 21-50 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or suggest all the method steps and order of the steps currently claimed in claims 6 and 9-10, the order of the method steps is not obvious because any change in the order of the steps will result in different properties for the preform or container.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445

Art Unit: 1772

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/9/06 have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive. Applicant's arguments with regard to claims 6-10 and 21-50 are persuasive.

Applicant has argued that neither Valyi and Tsukada disclose the limitations "to form an expanded and crystallized neck" and "expanded and crystallized". However, said limitations are provided upon the combined teachings of Valyi and Tsukada since Valyi discloses an expanded neck and Tsukada discloses a crystallized neck.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445

Art Unit: 1772

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

MCM March 20, 2006